

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/031,128	06/05/2002	Cyrill G. Gallant	0030-0205P	2639
2292	7590 07/01/2004		EXAM	INER
BIRCH STE	WART KOLASCH & B	CORBIN, ARTHUR L		
PO BOX 747	PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			PAPER NUMBER
TALLS CHO.	(CII, VII 22010 07 17		1761	

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summan	10/031,128	FALLANT ET LE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Applicant(s) GALLANT ET AL Group Art Unit 1761	
	HETHURL	ORB, N 1761	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet	beneath the correspondence address-	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	E MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replaced if NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statt Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	oly within the statutory r expire SIX (6) MONTHS ite, cause the application	minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication. To to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
☐ This action is FINAL.			
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except to accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 	or formal matters, p C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 2	rosecution as to the merits is closed in 13.	
Disposition of Claims			
Claim(s) 1		is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)			
□ Claim(s)			
□ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.	
□ Claim(s)			
Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election	
Application Papers		requirement	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		*	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	ed to by the Examine	er ·	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)–(d).	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:			
$\hfill \Box$ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	ceived.		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	ceived in Application	No	
☆ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents			
in this national stage application from the International			
*Certified copies not received:		•	
Attachment(s)			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	s)	Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	Other		
	_		
Office Act	ion Summary		

Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-5, drawn to a method for removing meat from a hard-shelled crustacean, classified in class 426, subclass 524.
- II. Claims 6-7, drawn to a method for preparing a stuffed crustacean, classified in class 426, subclass 479.

2.

III. Claims 8-9, drawn to a stuffed crustacean, classified in class 426, subclass 92.

3.

- IV. Claims 10-11, drawn to a crustacean stuffing, classified in class 426, subclass 643.
- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:
- 5. The method I. does not require vacuum eviscerating, back flushing and then stuffing of a crustacean, as in II.
- 6. Inventions I and III or IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the products as claimed can be made by a

Art Unit: 1761

materially different process, e.g. a process wherein crustacean meat is removed solely by hand without any preliminary treatment as occurs in I.

- 7. Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process, e.g. a process in which the crustacean viscera and intestines are all removed by hand without use of vacuum or back flushing, as occurs in II.
- 8. Inventions II and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make a materially different product, e.g. a stuffed crustacean.
- 9. The product in IV does not require the presence of a crustacean shell, as in the product of III.
- 10. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/031,128

Art Unit: 1761

- 11. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 13. Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: Amended claim 8 is a method claim whereas original claim 8 is a product claim. Applicant is requested to resolve this discrepancy. Appropriate correction is required.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication the examiner should be directed to Arthur L. Corbin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1399. The examiner can generally be reached on Monday--Friday from 10:30 to 8:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571)272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may is be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/031,128

Art Unit: 1761

Page 5

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A.L. Corbin/dh June 28, 2004

ARTHUR L. CORBIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

6-28-04